Fun With Pythagorean Triples

Allan Bickle

Dordt College

October 25, 2013

Allan Bickle Fun With Pythagorean Triples

프 문 문 프 문

The Pythagorean Theorem is a basic fact about geometry.

Theorem

[Pythagorean Theorem] If a right triangle has legs with lengths a and b and hypotenuse with length c, then $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$.



Compute the area two ways.

 $(a+b)^{2} = 4(\frac{1}{2}ab) + c^{2}$ $a^{2} + 2ab + b^{2} = 2ab + c^{2}$ $a^{2} + b^{2} = c^{2}$



Compute the area two ways. $(a+b)^2 = 4(\frac{1}{2}ab) + c^2$ $a^2 + 2ab + b^2 = 2ab + c^2$ $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$



Compute the area two ways. $(a+b)^2 = 4\left(\frac{1}{2}ab\right) + c^2$ $a^2 + 2ab + b^2 = 2ab + c^2$ $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$



Compute the area two ways. $(a+b)^2 = 4\left(\frac{1}{2}ab\right) + c^2$ $a^2 + 2ab + b^2 = 2ab + c^2$ $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$

- There are many proofs of the Pythagorean Theorem.
- One book contains 370 proofs-perhaps the most for any theorem.
- (This raises the question of when two proofs should be considered distinct.)
- It is possible for the numbers a, b, and c to all be integers.

• Example:
$$3^2 + 4^2 = 5^2$$

Definition

A triple of integers (a, b, c) with $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$ is called a Pythagorean Triple.

- The Pythagorean Theorem is named for Pythagoras, in ancient Greece.
- Methods for generating such triples have been studied in many cultures, beginning with the Babylonians.
- They were later studied by the ancient Greek, Chinese, and Indian mathematicians.
- An application of Pythagorean triples is in homework problems where the author wants the calculations to work out simply.

Trivial Cases

- There are some trivial cases
- We see (0, b, b) is a Pythagorean triple, since $0^2 + b^2 = b^2$.
- If $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$, then $(\pm a)^2 + (\pm b)^2 = (\pm c)^2$.
- Hence we typically require that a,b, and c be positive integers.
- If $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$, then $(ka)^2 + (kb)^2 = (kc)^2$, so (ka, kb, kc) is a Pythagorean triple.
- Thus if *a*, *b*, and *c* have a common factor, it can be divided out to obtain a smaller Pythagorean triple.

Definition

A Pythagorean triple where a, b, and c have no common factor is called a primitive Pythagorean triple.

▶ ▲글▶ ▲글▶ 글|님

- The most famous Pythagorean triple is (3,4,5).
- The next most famous Pythagorean triple is (5,12,13).
- Another common Pythagorean triple is (7,24,25).
- Do you see a pattern?

Finding a Pattern

- Start with an odd positive integer.
- Square it, and divide the square into two integers that differ by one.
- This produces a Pythagorean triple.
- Algebraically, for n = 2k + 1,

$$\left(n,\frac{n^2-1}{2},\frac{n^2+1}{2}\right)$$

is a Pythagorean triple. This is easily verified.

- Thus (9,40,41), (11,60,61), (13,84,85), (15,112,113), (17,144,145), ... are Pythagorean triples.
- I first discovered this pattern (without proof) as a middle-grade student.
- However, not all Pythagorean triples satisfy this pattern!
- Example: (8, 15, 17).

- Can we find a general solution that produces all primitive Pythagorean triples?
- A few observations:
- *a* and *b* cannot both be even, since then *c* would be even also.
- An even square equals 0 (mod 4), since $(2k)^2 = 4k^2$.
- An odd square equals 1 (mod 4), since $(2k+1)^2 = 4k^2 + 4k + 1$.
- a and b cannot both be odd, since then c would equal 2 (mod 4).
- Thus let a be even and b be odd, so c must be odd.

Try some algebra.

$$a^{2} + b^{2} = c^{2}$$
$$b^{2} = c^{2} - a^{2}$$
$$c^{2} = (c + a)(c - a)$$
$$\frac{c + a}{b} = \frac{b}{c - a}$$

Allan Bickle Fun With Pythagorean Triples

▲ 문 ▶ | ▲ 문 ▶

문 문

Try some algebra.

$$a^{2} + b^{2} = c^{2}$$
$$b^{2} = c^{2} - a^{2}$$
$$b^{2} = (c + a)(c - a)$$
$$\frac{c + a}{b} = \frac{b}{c - a}$$

'문▶' ★ 문

과동

Let
$$\frac{c+a}{b} = \frac{m}{n}$$
 (reduced). Then $\frac{c-a}{b} = \frac{n}{m}$.
Adding, we find
 $2\frac{c}{b} = \frac{m}{n} + \frac{n}{m} = \frac{m^2 + n^2}{mn}$
so
 $\frac{c}{b} = \frac{m^2 + n^2}{m}$

Similarly, subtracting yields

$$\frac{a}{b} = \frac{m^2 - n^2}{2mn}$$

We would like to equate numerators and denominators. Since the left sides are reduced, we need the right sides reduced. Thus we need m and n to have opposite parity. Note also that if m and $m^2 + n^2$ had a common factor, then so would m and n. The other cases are similar.

Let
$$\frac{c+a}{b} = \frac{m}{n}$$
 (reduced). Then $\frac{c-a}{b} = \frac{n}{m}$.
Adding, we find
 $2\frac{c}{b} = \frac{m}{n} + \frac{n}{m} = \frac{m^2 + n^2}{mn}$
so
 $\frac{c}{b} = \frac{m^2 + n^2}{2mn}$

Similarly, subtracting yields

$$\frac{a}{b} = \frac{m^2 - n^2}{2mn}$$

We would like to equate numerators and denominators. Since the left sides are reduced, we need the right sides reduced. Thus we need m and n to have opposite parity. Note also that if m and $m^2 + n^2$ had a common factor, then so would m and n. The other cases are similar.

Let
$$\frac{c+a}{b} = \frac{m}{n}$$
 (reduced). Then $\frac{c-a}{b} = \frac{n}{m}$.
Adding, we find
 $2\frac{c}{b} = \frac{m}{n} + \frac{n}{m} = \frac{m^2 + n^2}{mn}$
so
 $\frac{c}{b} = \frac{m^2 + n^2}{2mn}$

Similarly, subtracting yields

$$\frac{a}{b} = \frac{m^2 - n^2}{2mn}$$

We would like to equate numerators and denominators. Since the left sides are reduced, we need the right sides reduced. Thus we need m and n to have opposite parity. Note also that if m and $m^2 + n^2$ had a common factor, then so would m and n. The other cases are similar.

Putting this all together, we have

Theorem

All primitive Pythagorean triples (a, b, c) with $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$ are given by

$$a = m^2 - n^2$$

$$b = 2mn$$

$$c = m^2 + n^2$$

where m > n > 0, m and n are relatively prime, and m and n have opposite parity.

It is easy to check that this gives a Pythagorean triple, as

$$a^{2} + b^{2} = (m^{2} - n^{2})^{2} + (2mn)^{2} = (m^{2} + n^{2})^{2} = c^{2}$$

Pythagorean Triples with Small Lengths



Figure : Source: Wikipedia

Factors in Pythagorean Triples

What numbers can be factors of a, b, and c?

Theorem

Exactly one of a and b is divisible by three.

Proof.

If both were divisible by three, then c would be also.

If neither are divisible by three, then they equal one or two mod 3. $(3k+1)^2 = 9k^2 + 6k + 1$ $(3k+2)^2 = 9k^2 + 12k + 4$ Thus a^2 and b^2 both equal 1 mod 3, so $c^2 \equiv 2 \mod 3$, which is impossible.

- Exactly one of *a* and *b* is divisible by 4.
- Exactly one of *a*, *b*, and *c* is divisible by 5.

Numbers in Pythagorean Triples

What numbers can be contained in Pythagorean triples?

Theorem

An integer r > 1 is a leg of some primitive Pythagorean triple \iff $r \neq 2 \pmod{4}$.

Proof.

(\Leftarrow) Let *r* be odd. Then $\left(r, \frac{r^2-1}{2}, \frac{r^2+1}{2}\right)$ is a Pythagorean triple. It is primitive since if *p* divides *r*, *p* does not divide r + 1 or r - 1. Let r = 4k. Then $\left(4k^2 - 1, 4k, 4k^2 + 1\right)$ is a Pythagorean triple which is primitive since if *p* divides 2k, it does not divide 2k + 1 or 2k - 1. (\Rightarrow) Let $r \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Then *n* is even, but not divisible by 4. Then *r* is not *a*, so if it is *b*, then r = 2mn. But one of *m* and *n* are even, so *r* is not *b* either.

Thus 6, 10, 14, ... are not legs of any primitive Pythagorean triple.

To determine whether an integer can be a hypotenuse of a Pythagorean triple, we need another theorem first.

Theorem

[Fermat's Theorem on the Sums of Squares] The prime p is the sum of two integer squares, $p = a^2 + b^2 \iff p = 2$ or $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

- For example, 2 = 1 + 1, 5 = 4 + 1, 13 = 9 + 4, 17 = 16 + 1, 29 = 25 + 4, 37 = 36 + 1, and 41 = 25 + 16.
- However, 3, 7, 11, 19, 23, 31, 43, ... are not sums of two squares.

We need a corollary of this theorem.

Corollary

Let $n = 2^k p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r} q_1^{b_1} \cdots q_s^{b_s}$ be a positive integer where the factors are all primes and $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $q_i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Then $n = A^2 + B^2 \iff$ each b_i is even. In this case, n can be represented as a sum of squares in $4(a_1 + 1) \cdots (a_r + 1)$ ways.

- For example, $65 = 5 \cdot 13 = 64 + 1 = 49 + 16$. Interchanging and allowing negatives produces the 16 possibilities.
- These results can be proved using Gaussian integers, which we will not examine in this talk.

Numbers in Pythagorean Triples

Theorem

An integer r > 1 is the hypotenuse of some primitive Pythagorean triple \iff any prime factor p of r satisfies $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Proof.

(\Leftarrow) By Corollary 8, r can be expressed as a sum of squares, $r = m^2 + n^2$. The facts that m > n > 0, m and n are relatively prime, and m and n have opposite parity can be checked using the proof of Corollary 8. (\Rightarrow) Assume $p \neq 1 \pmod{4}$. If p = 2, then p does not divide n, since n is odd. If $p = 3 \pmod{4}$, then by Corollary 8, p^2 divides n. But $\frac{n}{p^2}$ can be expressed as a sum of squares the same number of ways, so if $\frac{n}{p^2} = m^2 + n^2$, then $n = (pm)^2 + (pn)^2$. Thus the triple is not primitive, so p does not divide n.

Thus $65 = 5 \cdot 13$ is the hypotenuse of (63,16,65), but $11 = 4 \cdot 2 + 3$ and $49 = 7^2$ are not the hypotenuses of any primitive trible. In the hypotenuses of any primitive trible.

Theorem

Let r be an integer, $r \neq 2 \pmod{4}$, with k distinct prime factors. Then r is a leg of 2^{k-1} different primitive Pythagorean triples.

Proof.

Let r be even, so 4 divides n. Then r = 2mn, and one of m and n is even, so 2 divides mn. Thus mn has the same number of distinct prime factors as r. Now $r = 2^k p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$. We want all possible factorizations of $\frac{r}{2}$ into m and n, which are relatively prime. Thus if p_i divides m, so does $p_i^{a_i}$. Since there are k distinct factors, there are 2^k possibilities. But every possible factorization is counted twice, so there are 2^{k-1} ways that r occurs in Pythagorean triples. Let r be odd. Then $r = m^2 - n^2 = (m+n)(m-n)$. Thus m+nand m - n are odd. If they had a common odd factor, it would divide their sum 2m and difference 2n, a contradiction. Thus we want all possible factorizations of r into two relatively prime factors. As with the even case, there are 2^{k-1} wavs to do this. Allan Bickle Fun With Pythagorean Triples

Enumerating Pythagorean Triples

For example, $60 = 2^2 \cdot 5$ is a leg in four triples: (11,60,61), (91,60,109), (221,60,229), and (899,60,901).

Theorem

Let r be an integer with k distinct prime factors such that any prime factor p of r satisfies $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then r is the hypotenuse of 2^{k-1} different primitive Pythagorean triples.

Thus $65 = 5 \cdot 13$ is the hypotenuse of (63, 16, 65) and (33, 56, 65).

Corollary

An integer occurs r times as a leg or hypotenuse of a primitive Pythagorean triple $\iff r = 2^{k-1}$ for some integer k. No number occurs infinitely many times as a leg or hypotenuse of a primitive Pythagorean triple. For all N, there exists r such that r occurs more than N times as a leg or hypotenuse of a primitive Pythagorean triple.

Furthermore,

Corollary

The smallest even number to occur as a leg of a primitive Pythagorean triple 2^{k-1} times is 2 times the product of the first k distinct primes.

The smallest odd number to occur as a leg of a primitive Pythagorean triple 2^{k-1} times is the product of the first k distinct odd primes.

The smallest number to occur as the hypotenuse of a primitive Pythagorean triple 2^{k-1} times is the product of the first k distinct primes that are congruent to 1 (mod 4).

What about non-primitive triples?

Theorem

The number of ways that an integer $r = 2^j p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_k^{a_k}$ occurs as a leg of (not-necessarily primitive) Pythagorean triples is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} (\prod (2a_i + 1) - 1) & rodd \\ (j - \frac{1}{2}) \prod (2a_i + 1) - \frac{1}{2} & reven \end{bmatrix}$$

- For example, $15 = 3 \cdot 5$ is a leg of four triples: 5(3,4,5), 3(5,12,13), (15,8,17), and (15,112,113).
- For example, 12 = 2²3 is a leg of four triples: 3(3,4,5), 4(3,4,5), (5,12,13), and (35,12,37).

.

Theorem

The number of ways that an integer $r = 2^{j} p_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots p_{k}^{a_{k}} q_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots q_{l}^{b_{l}}$ where the factors are all primes and $p_{i} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $q_{i} \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ occurs as the hypotenuse of (not-necessarily primitive) Pythagorean triples is $\frac{1}{2} (\prod (2a_{i} + 1) - 1)$.

- For example, $65 = 5 \cdot 13$ is the hypotenuse of four triples: 13(3,4,5), 5(5,12,13), (63,16,65) and (33,56,65).
- The proofs of the previous two theorems analyze the number of ways to distribute the possible factors.

Consider a Pythagorean triple as a column vector. Start with (3, 4, 5) and multiply by the following matrices $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & -2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, C = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$

Consider a Pythagorean triple as a column vector. Start with (3, 4, 5) and multiply by the following matrices $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & -2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, C = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & -2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 12 \\ 13 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 20 \\ 29 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{vmatrix} -1 & 2 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 & 3 \end{vmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 15 \\ 8 \\ 17 \end{bmatrix}$ These are all primitive Pythagorean triples!

It is possible to generate all primitive Pythagorean triples this way.

	-	-	
(3,4,5)	(5,12,13)	(7,24,25)	(9,40,41) (105,88,137)
		(55,48,73)	(105,208,233) (297,304,425)
		(45,28,53)	(187,84,205) (95,168,193) (207,224,305)
	(21,20,29)	(39,80,89)	(117,44,125) (57,176,185) (377,336,505)
		(119,120,169)	(299,180,349) (217,456,505) (697,696,985)
		(77.36.85)	(495,220,509) (175,288,337) (319,360,481)
	(15,8,17)	(33 56 65)	(165, 52, 173) (51, 140, 149) (275, 252, 373)
		(35,50,05)	(213,232,313) (209,120,241) (115,252,277)
		(65,72,97)	(403,396,565) (273,136,305) (85,132,157)
		(35,12,37)	(133,156,205) (63,16,65)
		Aman Dickle	Tun with Fythagorean Triples

Note that special cases are produced by multiplying by only one of A, B, or C.

- $(3,4,5) A^k: (r, \frac{r^2-1}{2}, \frac{r^2+1}{2}), r = 2k+1$
- $(3,4,5) B^k: b-a = (-1)^k$
- $(3,4,5) C^k: (4k^2 1, 4k, 4k^2 + 1)$

To check that a Pythagorean triple is produced by A, we see $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & -2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a-2b+2c \\ 2a-b+2c \\ 2a-2b+3c \end{bmatrix}$ $(a-2b+2c)^{2} + (2a-b+2c)^{2}$ $= (a^{2}+4b^{2}+4c^{2}-4ab+4ac-8bc) + (4a^{2}+b^{2}+4c^{2}-4ab+8ac-4bc)$ $= 5a^{2}+5b^{2}+8c^{2}-8ab+12ac-12bc \text{ (since } a^{2}+b^{2}=c^{2}\text{)}$ $= 4a^{2}+4b^{2}+9c^{2}-8ab+12ac-12bc = (2a-2b+3c)^{2}$ Matrices B and C can be similarly checked.

Note that special cases are produced by multiplying by only one of A, B, or C.

•
$$(3,4,5)A^k: (r,\frac{r^2-1}{2},\frac{r^2+1}{2}), r=2k+1$$

•
$$(3,4,5) B^k: b-a = (-1)^k$$

• $(3,4,5) C^k: (4k^2 - 1, 4k, 4k^2 + 1)$

To check that a Pythagorean triple is produced by A, we see

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & -2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a-2b+2c \\ 2a-b+2c \\ 2a-2b+3c \end{bmatrix}$$
$$(a-2b+2c)^{2} + (2a-b+2c)^{2}$$
$$= (a^{2}+4b^{2}+4c^{2}-4ab+4ac-8bc) + (4a^{2}+b^{2}+4c^{2}-4ab+8ac-4bc)$$
$$= 5a^{2}+5b^{2}+8c^{2}-8ab+12ac-12bc \text{ (since } a^{2}+b^{2}=c^{2}\text{)}$$
$$= 4a^{2}+4b^{2}+9c^{2}-8ab+12ac-12bc = (2a-2b+3c)^{2}$$
Matrices B and C can be similarly checked.

- Why is the triple primitive?
- The three matrices are unimodular-that is, they have integer entries and determinant ± 1 .
- Thus their inverses are also unimodular.
- Now if (d, e, f) = A(a, b, c), then $(a, b, c) = A^{-1}(d, e, f)$.
- Thus if *d*, *e*, and *f* have a common factor, then *a*, *b*, and *c* must also.
- To show that each triple is obtained only once, we show that there is only one path back to (3,4,5).
- For each triple, only one of the three inverse matrices A⁻¹, B⁻¹, and C⁻¹ yields all positive entries and a smaller hypotenuse.
- By induction, there is only one path from the triple to (3,4,5), and hence the reverse is also true.

- Why is the triple primitive?
- The three matrices are unimodular-that is, they have integer entries and determinant ± 1 .
- Thus their inverses are also unimodular.
- Now if (d, e, f) = A(a, b, c), then $(a, b, c) = A^{-1}(d, e, f)$.
- Thus if *d*, *e*, and *f* have a common factor, then *a*, *b*, and *c* must also.
- To show that each triple is obtained only once, we show that there is only one path back to (3,4,5).
- For each triple, only one of the three inverse matrices A^{-1} , B^{-1} , and C^{-1} yields all positive entries and a smaller hypotenuse.
- By induction, there is only one path from the triple to (3,4,5), and hence the reverse is also true.

- There are many generalizations of Pythagorean triples.
- Pythagorean Quadruples: $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = d^2$
- Examples:
- (1,2,2,3), since $1^2 + 2^2 + 2^2 = 3^2$
- (2,3,6,7), since $2^2 + 3^2 + 6^2 = 7^2$
- These are all given by the formula $(m^2 + n^2 - p^2 - q^2)^2 + (2mq + 2np)^2 + (2nq - 2mp)^2 = (m^2 + n^2 + p^2 + q^2)^2.$
- This can be generalized to Pythagorean *n*-tuples.

Heronian Triples

- Heron's formula for the area of a triangle says that $A = \sqrt{s(s-a)(s-b)(s-c)}$.
- A Heronian triangle is one for which *a*, *b*, and *c* and *A* are integers.
- Any Pythagorean triple is a Heronian triple, since $A = \frac{1}{2}ab$, and one of *a* and *b* must be even.
- However, there are other examples:
- (4, 13, 15) with area 24
- (3, 25, 26) with area 36
- (7, 15, 20) with area 42
- (6, 25, 29) with area 60
- Heron's formula requires that $(a^2 + b^2 + c^2)^2 2(a^4 + b^4 + c^4)$ be a nonzero perfect square divisible by 16.

Fermat's Last Theorem

• In 1637, Pierre de Fermat asserted Fermat's Last Theorem:

Theorem

[Fermat's Last Theorem] There are no positive integer solutions (x, y, z) to

$$x^n + y^n = z^n$$

for any integer n > 2.

- Fermat claimed to have proven this theorem, but that the margin of the book he was reading was too small to contain the proof.
- For 358 years no proof was found. Many mathematicians tried to find a proof, whether Fermat's or something else.
- For a long time this was perhaps the most famous unsolved problem in mathematics.

Fermat's Last Theorem

- Many partial results were obtained, and the result was proved for specific values of *n*. However, a full proof remained elusive.
- This quest motivated much of the development of the subject of number theory.
- Finally in 1995, after seven years of work, British mathematician Andrew Wiles announced a proof.
- His paper was 125 pages long, and employed very difficult mathematics.
- This talk is definitely too small to contain Wiles' proof!

Fermat's Last Theorem

- Many partial results were obtained, and the result was proved for specific values of *n*. However, a full proof remained elusive.
- This quest motivated much of the development of the subject of number theory.
- Finally in 1995, after seven years of work, British mathematician Andrew Wiles announced a proof.
- His paper was 125 pages long, and employed very difficult mathematics.
- This talk is definitely too small to contain Wiles' proof!

Sources:

- An Introduction to Number Theory by Harold M. Stark
- Abstract Algebra, 3rd Ed. by Dummit and Foote
- Wikipedia

물 이 이 물 이 있는 것 같아요.